

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR FEDERAL PROPERTIES

FOR NPS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

AUG 6 1979

DATE ENTERED

FEB 8 1980

SEE INSTRUCTIONS IN *HOW TO COMPLETE NATIONAL REGISTER FORMS*
TYPE ALL ENTRIES -- COMPLETE APPLICABLE SECTIONS

1 NAME

HISTORIC

Grand Wash Archeological District

AND/OR COMMON

Grand Wash Archeological District

2 LOCATION

STREET & NUMBER

Lake Mead National Recreation Area

— NOT FOR PUBLICATION

CITY, TOWN

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

— VICINITY OF

3

STATE

Arizona

CODE

7

COUNTY

Mohave

CODE

015

3 CLASSIFICATION

CATEGORY	OWNERSHIP	STATUS	PRESENT USE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DISTRICT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPIED	<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUSEUM
<input type="checkbox"/> BUILDING(S)	<input type="checkbox"/> PRIVATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNOCCUPIED	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARK
<input type="checkbox"/> STRUCTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> BOTH	<input type="checkbox"/> WORK IN PROGRESS	<input type="checkbox"/> EDUCATIONAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PRIVATE RESIDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> SITE	PUBLIC ACQUISITION	ACCESSIBLE	<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERTAINMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> RELIGIOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> OBJECT	<input type="checkbox"/> IN PROCESS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES: RESTRICTED	<input type="checkbox"/> GOVERNMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> SCIENTIFIC
	<input type="checkbox"/> BEING CONSIDERED	<input type="checkbox"/> YES: UNRESTRICTED	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION
		<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> MILITARY	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:

4 AGENCY

REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS: (If applicable)

National Park Service, Western Region

STREET & NUMBER

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36063

CITY, TOWN

San Francisco

STATE

California 94102

— VICINITY OF

5 LOCATION OF LEGAL DESCRIPTION

COURTHOUSE,
REGISTRY OF DEEDS, ETC.

National Park Service, Western Archeological Center

STREET & NUMBER

P. O. Box 41058

CITY, TOWN

Tucson

STATE

Arizona 85717

6 REPRESENTATION IN EXISTING SURVEYS

TITLE (1) Wahl-Yee Mineral Lease Survey; (2) Mobil Mineral Lease Survey (Grand Wash Cliffs Project)

DATE

(1) 1977; (2) 1978

FEDERAL STATE COUNTY LOCAL

DEPOSITORY FOR
SURVEY RECORDS

National Park Service, Western Archeological Center, P. O. Box 41058

CITY, TOWN

Tucson

STATE

Arizona 85717

7 DESCRIPTION

CONDITION		CHECK ONE	CHECK ONE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT	<input type="checkbox"/> DETERIORATED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNALTERED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL SITE
<input type="checkbox"/> GOOD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RUINS	<input type="checkbox"/> ALTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> MOVED DATE _____
<input type="checkbox"/> FAIR	<input type="checkbox"/> UNEXPOSED		

DESCRIBE THE PRESENT AND ORIGINAL (IF KNOWN) PHYSICAL APPEARANCE

The district is made up of [REDACTED],

[REDACTED] These boundaries are arbitrary and represent two proposed mineral leases, the Wahl-Yee and Mobil leases. Mineral exploration as part of these leases could disturb a number of archeological sites. See attached maps showing sites in each Section.

The district is in the Basin and Range Province, characterized locally by soft and easily eroded Late Cenozoic sediments and lava flows. Topography includes one major wash (Grand Wash), three large tributary washes (Gyp, Pigeon and Tassi), and stark badlands carved from soft gypsum soils. Vegetation is Mohave desert scrub, dominated by creosotebush (Larrea divaricata) and including bursage (Franseria), saltbush (Atriplex), cholla and prickly pear cactus (Opuntia spp.) and Mohave yucca (Yucca shidigera). Grasses are present but are heavily grazed by cattle. Animals are rare; they include jackrabbits (Lepus Californicus), desert tortoise (Gopherus agassizi), and small birds and lizards. Of these species, grasses, cacti, yucca, jackrabbits, tortoises and lizards, although not bountiful, were likely human food sources. Far more important to ancient users of this land were the cobbles of workable stone to be found in the local desert gravel cover.

Previous research consists of two intensive archeological surveys. In 1977, Carole McClellan and George Teague (National Park Service - Western Archeological Center) conducted a 100 percent survey of [REDACTED]. In 1978, McClellan and David A. Phillips, Jr. (National Park Service - Western Archeological Center), surveyed the remaining sections. One hundred percent of this area was surveyed in 258 man-hours. Better coverage was given to the ridge tops and gentle slopes than to very steep slopes. In addition to this survey, some additional intensive studies were made. These activities consisted of transect studies across three sites, random collection on two sites, and the study of one feature, a sleeping circle. The entire area was covered, and all sites and isolated artifacts were recorded. Features within sites were plotted and described individually. The surveys found 206 sites and isolated artifacts; the sites incorporate more than 1000 chipping stations. Primary use of the area seems to have been procurement and initial reduction of cores from nodules of stone found naturally in the gravels that cover much of the survey area. Three aboriginal campsites and one Anglo campsite also were found, and limited hunting and food gathering took place. For the prehistoric and protohistoric periods, direct evidence of Anasazi (A.D. 1-1150) and Paiute (A.D. 1150-1890) occupation was found. However, it is likely that use of the area began much earlier, in Archaic (3000 B.C. - A.D. 1) times.

No excavation has been done within the district. The limited surface collections made by McClellan and Phillips in 1978 support the interpretation of the area as one where workable stone was obtained.

In summary, the archeological resources of the district have been identified, but very little research has been done.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

FOR NPS USE ONLY	
RECEIVED	AUG 6 1979
DATE ENTERED	FEB 8 1980

DESCRIPTION
CONTINUATION SHEET

7
ITEM NUMBER

PAGE 2

The 206 sites vary greatly in terms of density and number of features. Chipping activities were heaviest where large nodules of chert or chalcedony are common in the local gravel cover. In other areas, such as the [REDACTED] surface gravels are rare or lacking and sites, therefore, are absent. Archeological remains also are denser near large washes, which would have served as natural routes of travel, and on ridgetops. Site size varies from isolated artifacts (usually in areas without chippable stone) to an extensive, variable scatter covering several square kilometers. Since degrees of patination occur within sites and individual chipping stations, it is likely that sites represent sporadic use of areas by a succession of cultures, rather than temporally restricted use by distinct cultures.

The majority of the remains probably date to the prehistoric (Archaic, Anasazi, Paiute) period. However, Paiutes continued to use the area in historic times. Accounts by members of the Wheeler survey (Smith 1972: 173-178) and of Mormon wagon parties (all on their way to Pearce Ferry on the Colorado) place parties of Paiute at locations just north and south of the district proper. Individual Paiutes were known to wander in the area after the start of the reservation period--in one case, well into the 20th century. The one definite Paiute site found (a campsite) could date to either prehistoric or historic times.

From 1860 on, the district was traversed by Anglo parties using the Pearce Ferry Crossing of the Colorado, to the south. Notable groups using the crossing (and, therefore, passing through the district) included parties of Mormon missionaries under Jacob Hamblin, detachments of the Wheeler Survey, and Mormon emigrant parties. No direct evidence of these parties was found, however, The only early Anglo site (a campsite) was found [REDACTED] which suggests travel to places other than the ferry.

From about 1900 on, the district has been part of the range for [REDACTED], [REDACTED]. However, no early remains of this ranching activity were found. Cattle-grazing has had little effect on the remains, and the most extensive land modification to date--construction of dirt and gravel roads--seems to have had an equally minor effect. The area nominated is presently undeveloped and lies approximately 120 kilometers from the [REDACTED]. Because of its isolated location, minimal protection would be afforded the site at this time. Interpretation and maintenance of the area would also be minimal.

The West half of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] July 1966, as a proposed development site in the Grand Wash area. A general management plan for Lake Mead National Recreation Area has been scheduled to begin in FY 1980 which will address areas considered for future development.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

FOR NPS USE ONLY	
RECEIVED	AUG 6 1979
DATE ENTERED	FEB 8 1980

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

DESCRIPTION
CONTINUATION SHEET

7
ITEM NUMBER

PAGE 3

At the present time we do not foresee development of that area in the near future. The presence of archeological resources within the [REDACTED] mineral lease areas has been discussed in the "Grand Wash Cliffs Environmental Assessment for Management Options for Oil and Gas Lease Applications" (Department of the Interior 1978). Before development could proceed in these areas, compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act would have to be met.

Site Nomenclature: the accompanying maps from McClellan and Phillips' 1978 report show the 130 sites given official Arizona State Museum trinomial designations (Arizona A:9:1-129 inclusive and Arizona A:13:2) and 76 field numbers indicating isolated materials. Squares on the maps illustrate trinomial site numbers which were assigned to quarry sites (composed of from 2 to 125 separate internal features), campsites which may possess rock rings or other constructions, and tool production sites. Internal features are shown within tinted areas of each site's area, often arbitrarily separated from each other. Isolated artifacts or lithic materials are not shown on the maps. Of the nearly 1000 internal site features, less than 5% were greater than 10x10 meters in extent and a majority were less than 5x5 meters in size. Most isolated artifacts or lithic clusters measured only 1x1 meter but most trinomial numbered sites were at least 500x500 meters, the maximum being 2300x1100 meters.

8 SIGNIFICANCE

PERIOD	AREAS OF SIGNIFICANCE -- CHECK AND JUSTIFY BELOW			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PREHISTORIC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ARCHEOLOGY-PREHISTORIC	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMUNITY PLANNING	<input type="checkbox"/> LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> RELIGION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1400-1499	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ARCHEOLOGY-HISTORIC	<input type="checkbox"/> CONSERVATION	<input type="checkbox"/> LAW	<input type="checkbox"/> SCIENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1500-1599	<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> ECONOMICS	<input type="checkbox"/> LITERATURE	<input type="checkbox"/> SCULPTURE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1600-1699	<input type="checkbox"/> ARCHITECTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> EDUCATION	<input type="checkbox"/> MILITARY	<input type="checkbox"/> SOCIAL/HUMANITARIAN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1700-1799	<input type="checkbox"/> ART	<input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERING	<input type="checkbox"/> MUSIC	<input type="checkbox"/> THEATER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1800-1899	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCE	<input type="checkbox"/> EXPLORATION/SETTLEMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PHILOSOPHY	<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION
<input type="checkbox"/> 1900-	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMUNICATIONS	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> POLITICS/GOVERNMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY)
		<input type="checkbox"/> INVENTION		

SPECIFIC DATES

BUILDER/ARCHITECT

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The archeological remains of the Grand Wash District are comprised almost entirely of chipped stone (unused flakes, cores, etc.), although four campsites--three aboriginal and one Anglo--were found. Such remains can be studied in terms of culture history, site function, and human adaptation to arid environments. The remains are surficial and, therefore, any activity which disturbs land surfaces, including increased access by visitors, will have a serious effect on the resources. Park Service themes applicable to the district are:

- (1) THE ORIGINAL INHABITANTS--(c) Indian Meets European, and (f) Aboriginal Technology;
- (6) WESTERN EXPANSION, 1763-1898--(d) Western Trails and Travelers.

From a historic point of view, the significance of the district is local. It represents an area where prehistoric and historic Indians obtained stone for making tools, and on occasion hunted, gathered plant foods or camped. The historic Anglo wagon routes to Pearce Ferry passed through the district; one route ran down Grand Wash and the other cut cross-country, probably from [REDACTED].

The district's primary significance lies in its potential for archeological research. Archeological remains can be used to outline the different cultures that used this desert area and when. At present it seems that aboriginal cultures used the district primarily as a source for chipped stone, but this remains a hypothesis. Research still is needed on such basic questions as: which culture groups used the area, and how intensively? what is the range of activities at sites? how do the stone-chipping areas relate to general patterns of tool making, use and discard: and how does the area relate to regional prehistory? A sedentary culture such as the Anasazi may have used the area much differently than a nomadic culture such as the Paiute. The exact nature of the Anglo takeover from Paiutes in the Grand Wash is poorly understood and deserves further study.

A key to archeological value of the district resource is that it represents one segment of a larger settlement and land-use pattern. In historic times, the Grand Wash area was occupied by the Shivwits Paiute, whose territory encompassed a much larger area and who wandered throughout that area in search of seasonal foods. A similar pattern of seasonal exploitation of given areas seems to have held throughout the area's prehistory. The district's role in such seasonal rounds should be clarified; interpretation of the quarrying activity as lithic

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

FOR NPS USE ONLY
RECEIVED AUG 8 1979
DATE ENTERED

SIGNIFICANCE
CONTINUATION SHEET

8
ITEM NUMBER

PAGE 2

technology requires knowledge of its function in the overall adaptive strategy of ancient peoples.

Taking such a perspective, McClellan and Phillips (1978) have suggested that areas like the district could be used in the study of human adaptation to arid lands, since for hundreds of millions of people living under such conditions is a daily problem. Modern "engineering" solutions often are beyond their means or, when subsidized, create new problems of their own. The desert portions of the Southwest provide a record of adaptive strategies and techniques not based on industrial/fossil fuel technology. Archeologists can describe prehistoric approaches to land and water use, whether these succeeded, and why. They also can consider variables such as drought and population size, and how they affect adaptations to arid lands. While the district by itself cannot provide solutions to these problems, it is a necessary part of the data base for the Lake Mead region.

One factor that enhances the significance of the district is the good state of preservation of the remains. Although erosion has disturbed a few areas and dirt and gravel roads may have disturbed some sites, in most of the district chipping and tool-working activities still can be isolated and studied in terms of individual actions. In other words, stages and techniques of tool preparation need not be inferred from form, but can be established directly from the composition of chipping stations.

In summary, the Grand Wash Archeological District is made up mostly of archeological remains representing a narrow spectrum of prehistoric and historic human activities. However, the integrity of the remains, and the existence of identifiable features within sites, means that the district is an excellent example of aboriginal technology and specialized land use. As such, it is a significant resource for interpreting local and regional prehistory and early history, as well as for problem-oriented archeological study.

9 MAJOR BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

Belshaw, Mike and Ed Peplow, Jr.

1978 "Historic Resources Study: Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Nevada."
 Research report on file at Western Archeological Center, Tucson, AZ.

(continued on continuation sheet)

10 GEOGRAPHICAL DATA

ACREAGE OF NOMINATED PROPERTY 8,960

UTM REFERENCES

A	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	B	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>
	ZONE	EASTING	NORTHING		ZONE	EASTING
C	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	D	<u>[REDACTED]</u>	<u>[REDACTED]</u>
	ZONE	EASTING	NORTHING		ZONE	EASTING

VERBAL BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

This property is comprised of [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] in the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Arizona.

LIST ALL STATES AND COUNTIES FOR PROPERTIES OVERLAPPING STATE OR COUNTY BOUNDARIES

STATE	CODE	COUNTY	CODE
STATE	CODE	COUNTY	CODE

11 FORM PREPARED BY

NAME / TITLE Dr. Keith Anderson, (Regional Research Archeologist)

David A. Phillips, Jr. (Archeologist) and Carole McClellan (Archeologist)

ORGANIZATION

DATE

Western Archeological Center

March 1, 1979

STREET & NUMBER

TELEPHONE

762-6477

P. O. Box 41058

(602) 792-6501 (FTS: 762-6501)

CITY OR TOWN

STATE

Tucson

AZ 85717

12 CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

YES X

NO

NONE

[Signature]

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER SIGNATURE

In compliance with Executive Order 11593, I hereby nominate this property to the National Register, certifying that the State Historic Preservation Officer has been allowed 90 days in which to present the nomination to the State Review Board and to evaluate its significance. The evaluated level of significance is National X State Local .

FEDERAL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

[Signature]

TITLE

Asst. Dir., Cultural Resources

DATE

7/31/79

FOR NPS USE ONLY

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PROPERTY IS INCLUDED IN THE NATIONAL REGISTER

[Signature]

DATE

2-8-80

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF ARCHEOLOGY AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION

ATTEST:

[Signature]

DATE

1-31/80

KEEPER OF THE NATIONAL REGISTER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

FOR NPS USE ONLY
RECEIVED AUG 6 1979
DATE ENTERED FEB 8 1980

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

MAJOR BIBLIOGRAPHICAL

9

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER

PAGE 2

McClellan, Carole, and David A. Phillips, Jr.

1978 "Archeological Survey North of Lake Mead, Arizona: Wahl-Yee and Mobil Mineral Leases Final Report." Research report, Western Archeological Center, National Park Service, Tucson, Arizona.

McClellan, Carole, and George Teague

1977 "Archeological Survey of Wahl-Yee Lease Properties, Lake Mead National Recreation Area." Research report, Western Archeological Center, National Park Service, Tucson, Arizona.

Smith, Melvin

1972 The Colorado River: Its History in the Lower Canyons Area. Ph.D dissertation; Department of History, Brigham Young University, Provo. University microfilms, Ann Arbor.

Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center

1978 "Grand Wash Cliffs Environmental Assessment for Management Options for Oil and Gas Lease Applications."

Table 4

Permanent Arizona State Museum Site Numbers
1977 Survey

	<u>Temporary Field Number</u>	<u>A.S.M. Permanent Number</u>
Section 5:	1	A:9:1
	2	A:9:2
	3	A:9:3
	4	A:9:4
	5	A:9:5
	6	A:9:6
	7	A:9:7
	8	A:9:8
	9	Isolated Artifact(s)
	10	Isolated Artifact(s)
	11	A:9:9
	12	A:9:10
	13	A:9:11
	14	A:9:12
	15	Isolated Artifact(s)
	16	A:9:13
	17	A:9:14
	18	A:9:15
	19	A:9:16
	20	A:9:17
	21	Isolated Artifact(s)
	22	A:9:18
	23	A:9:19
	24	A:9:20
	25	Isolated Artifact(s)
NOTE: No field numbers 26-50		
Section 8:	51	Isolated Artifact(s)
	52	Isolated Artifact(s)
	53	A:9:21
	54	Isolated Artifact(s)
	55	Isolated Artifact(s)
	56	Isolated Artifact(s)
	57	A:9:22
	58	Isolated Artifact(s)
	59	A:9:23
	60	A:9:24
	61	Isolated Artifact(s)
	62	A:9:25
	63	Isolated Artifact(s)
	64	A:9:26
	65	Isolated Artifact(s)
Section 21:	--	A:13:2

Table 5
 Permanent Arizona State Museum Site Numbers
 1978 Survey

<u>Temporary Field Number</u>	<u>Permanent ASM Number</u>
1	A:9:27
2	A:9:28
3	A:9:29
4	Isolated Artifact(s)
5	A:9:30
6	Isolated Artifact(s)
7	A:9:31
8	A:9:32
9	A:9:33
10	A:9:34
11	Isolated Artifact(s)
12	Isolated Artifact(s)
13	A:9:35
14	A:9:36
15	A:9:37
16	Isolated Artifact(s)
17	A:9:38
18	A:9:39
19	Isolated Artifact(s)
20	Isolated Artifact(s)
21	Voided
22	A:9:40
23	A:9:41
24	A:9:42
25	A:9:43
26	A:9:44
27	Isolated Artifact(s)
28	Isolated Artifact(s)
29	A:9:45
30	Isolated Artifact(s)
31	Isolated Artifact(s)
32	A:9:46
33	A:9:47
34	A:9:48
35	A:9:49
36	A:9:50
37	A:9:51
38	A:9:52
39	A:9:53
40	A:9:54
41	A:9:55
42	Isolated Artifact(s)
43	Isolated Artifact(s)

Table 5, continued
 Permanent Arizona State Museum Site Numbers
 1978 Survey

<u>Temporary Field Number</u>	<u>Permanent ASM Number</u>
44	Isolated Artifact(s)
45	Isolated Artifact(s)
46	A:9:56
47	Isolated Artifact(s)
48	Isolated Artifact(s)
49	Isolated Artifact(s)
50	A:9:57
51	A:9:58
52	A:9:59
53	A:9:60
54	A:9:61
55	A:9:62
56	A:9:63
57	A:9:64
58	Isolated Artifact(s)
59	A:9:65
60	A:9:66
61	A:9:67
62	A:9:68
63	A:9:69
64	A:9:70
65	A:9:71
66	Isolated Artifact(s)
67	A:9:72
68	A:9:73
69	A:9:74
70	A:9:75
71	Isolated Artifact(s)
72	Isolated Artifact(s)
73	A:9:76
74	Isolated Artifact(s)
75	Isolated Artifact(s)
76	Isolated Artifact(s)
77	A:9:77
78	A:9:78
79	Isolated Artifact(s)
80	Isolated Artifact(s)
81	Isolated Artifact(s)
82	Isolated Artifact(s)
83	A:9:79
84	Isolated Artifact(s)
85	Isolated Artifact(s)
86	A:9:80

Table 5, continued
 Permanent Arizona State Museum Site Numbers
 1978 Survey

<u>Temporary Field Number</u>	<u>Permanent ASM Number</u>
87	Isolated Artifact(s)
88	Isolated Artifact(s)
89	Isolated Artifact(s)
90	Isolated Artifact(s)
91	Isolated Artifact(s)
92	Modern (post-1915)
93	A:9:81
94	A:9:82
95	A:9:83
96	A:9:84
97	A:9:85
98	A:9:86
99	A:9:87
100	A:9:88
101	A:9:89
102	A:9:90
103	A:9:91
104	A:9:92
105	A:9:93
106	A:9:94
107	A:9:95
108	A:9:96
109	A:9:97
110	A:9:98
111	A:9:99
112	A:9:100
113	Isolated Artifact(s)
114	A:9:101
115	A:9:102
116	Isolated Artifact(s)
117	Isolated Artifact(s)
118	A:9:103
119	Isolated Artifact(s)
120	Isolated Artifact(s)
121	Isolated Artifact(s)
122	Isolated Artifact(s)
123	A:9:104
124	Isolated Artifact(s)
125	A:9:105
126	A:9:106
127	Isolated Artifact(s)
128	A:9:107
129	A:9:108

Table 5, continued
 Permanent Arizona State Museum Site Numbers
 1978 Survey

<u>Temporary Field Number</u>	<u>Permanent ASM Number</u>
130	A:9:109
131	Isolated Artifact(s)
132	A:9:110
133	Isolated Artifact(s)
134	A:9:111
135	Isolated Artifact(s)
136	Isolated Artifact(s)
137	Isolated Artifact(s)
138	A:9:112
139	Isolated Artifact(s)
140	Isolated Artifact(s)
141	Isolated Artifact(s)
142	A:9:113
143	Isolated Artifact(s)
144	Isolated Artifact(s)
145	A:9:114
146	A:9:115
147	Voided
148	A:9:116
149	A:9:117
150	A:9:118
151	A:9:119
152	Isolated Artifact(s)
153	A:9:120
154	A:9:121
155	Isolated Artifact(s)
156	A:9:122
157	A:9:123
158	Isolated Artifact(s)
159	Isolated Artifact(s)
160	Isolated Artifact(s)
161	Isolated Artifact(s)
162	A:9:124
NOTE: No field numbers 163-200	
201	A:9:125
202	A:9:126
203	A:9:127
204	A:9:128
205	A:9:129

TABLE 6

Site Information

(1977 Survey)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES		SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION	
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics	Chipping Stations				Alignments
A:9:1(1)	x	x		x	?		x					1		65 m x 12 m	40	Quarry
A:9:2(2)	x	x		x			x					2		90 m x 40 m	8	Quarry
A:9:3(3)	x			x										5 m x 3 m	20	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
A:9:4(4)	x	x		x			x					2	?	200 m x 100 m	70	Quarry
A:9:5(5)	x	x		x			x					2		380 m x 48 m	100	Quarry
A:9:6(6)	x	x		x	x		x					2		32 m x 15 m	165	Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES		SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION		
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics	Chipping Stations				Alignments	Rock
A:9:7(7)	x	x		x							x	3		1	20 m x 12 m	50	Campsite (Pottery Col. No. 1-2)
A:9:8(8)	x		x	x		x									25 m x 10 m	Sparse	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
(9)	x			x	x	?									40 m apart	2	Isolated lithics
(10)		x		x			x								75 m apart	2	Isolated lithics
A:9:9(11)	x			x			x								40 m x 40 m	55	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
A:9:10(12)	x	x	x	x	x		x					3			60 m x 45 m	300	Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION			
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Flakes Retouched	Flakes Utilized	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics				Chipping Stations	Alignments	Rock
A:9:11(13)	x			x	x		x								.5 m x .5 m	5	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
A:9:12(14)	x			x			x								1 m x 1 m	7	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
(15)	x							x							Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
A:9:13(16)		x		x											2 m x 2 m	6	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry
S:9:14(17)	x			x				x							.5 m x .5 m	9	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES		SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION		
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics	Chipping Stations				Alignments	Rock
A:9:15(18)	x	x		x	x	?	x								7 m x 3.5 m	65	Quarry
A:9:16(19)	x	x	x	x		?	x								4 m x 1 m	6	Quarry/?
A:9:17(20)	x			x	x		x								5 m x 2 m	15	Quarry/Tool processing
(21)	x			x											Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
A:9:18(22)	x	x		x	x				?		2	?			140 m x 70 m	100	Quarry
A:9:19(23)	x	x	x	x			x		x		1				64 m x 2 m	25	Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION				
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics				Chipping Stations	Alignments	Rock	Hearth(s)
A:9:20(24)	x	x		x		?	x							2		135 m x 4 m	23	Quarry/?
(25)	x			x	x											25 m apart	4	Isolated lithics
																		NOTE: There are no field numbers 26-50.
(51)	x			x	?											Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
(52)	x			x												Isolated	1	Isolated Lithic
A:9:21(53)	x		x	x	x		x							1		96 m x 28 m	15	Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES		SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION		
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Flakes Retouched	Flakes Utilized	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics	Chipping Stations				Alignments	Rock
(54)	x			x											Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
(55)	x		x	x											10 m apart	2	Isolated lithics
(56)		x		x											.25 m apart	2	Isolated lithics
A:9:22(57)	x	x		x	x	x	x								300 m x 50 m	54	Quarry
(58)	x	x		x											350 m x 50 m	13	Isolated lithics
A:9:23(59)	x	x		x	x		x								420 m x 75 m	33	Quarry

TABLE 6 (cont.)

ASM SITE NUMBER (Field Number)	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							FEATURES	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	SITE TYPE/FUNCTION						
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry	Hammerstones	Ceramics	Stations	Chipping	Alignments	Rock	Hearth(s)				
A:9:24(60)	x	x		x													2	500+ m x 40 m	111	Quarry
(61)			x	x														Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
A:9:25(62)	x			x														?	12	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry?
(63)	x			x	x													Isolated	1	Isolated lithic
A:9:26(64)	x	x		x														4 m x 7 m	10	Isolated Chipping Station - Quarry?
(65)	x	x		x		x												Isolated	3	Isolated lithic

TABLE 7

Feature Information
 Site A:13:2 [REDACTED] --
 1977 Survey)

FEATURE	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							SIZE OF FEATURES	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry			
1	x	x	x	x	x				x		5 m x 5 m	5
2	x			x							?	3
3		x		x							2 m x 2 m	7
4		x		x							5 m x 5 m	15
5	x	x		x				x			5 m x 5 m	6
6	x	x		x							?	15
7	x			x	x	x		x			15 m x 5 m	51
8	x	x		x							.5 m x .25 m	9
9				x							1.5 m x 1 m	46
10		x		x							3 m x 3 m	70
11		x		x				x x			2 m x 2 m	17
12	x	x		x							4 m x 4 m	32
13	x			x		x					1.75 m x 1 m	18
14	x			x							1.5 m x .75 m	25
15	x			x							1.25 m x .5 m	13
16	x			x							2 m x 2 m	9
17	x			x							4 m x 3 m	125

TABLE 7 (cont.)

FEATURE	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS						SIZE OF FEATURES	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry		
18		x		x	?	?				.5 m x .25 m	11
19	x			x						2.5 m x 2 m	17+
20	x			x				x		4 m x 2 m	18+
21	x			x					x	6 m x 2 m	20+
22		x		x						.75 m x .5 m	13
23		x		x		?				1.25 m x 1 m	22
24	x			x				x		15 m x 3 m	?
25				x						1.5 m x 1.5 m	8
26	x			x				x		?	21
27	x	x		x				x		7 m x 4 m	17
28	x			x						3 m x 3 m	6
29	x			x				x		1 m x 1 m	6+
30	x			x				x	x	8 m x 11 m	55
31	x			x						12 m x 12 m	10+
32	x			x				x		3 m x 3 m	9+
33	x			x						1 m x 2 m	5
34	x			x				x		6 m x 2 m	?

TABLE 7 (cont.)

FEATURE	LITHIC MATERIAL			ARTIFACTS							SIZE OF FEATURES	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS
	Chert	Chalcedony	Other	Flakes	Retouched Flakes	Utilized Flakes	Cores	Blanks	Quarry			
35	x						x			9 m x 9 m	?	
36	x			x						3 m x 3 m	100+	
37	x			x						2 m x 2 m	4+	
38	x			x						3 m x 5 m	10+	
39*	x			x						2 m x 2 m	5	
40*	x			x			?			1 m x 1 m	9	
41*	x			x						6 m x 4 m	15+	
42*	x			x						?	6	
43*	x			x			x	x		?	12+	
44		x		x						.75 m x .5 m	16	
45		x		x		x				.5 m x .25 m	10	
46		x		x						1 m x .75 m	12	

*These features are outside the section boundary.

Table 8

Site Information
(1978 Survey)

SITE NO.	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
1	600 x 15 m	300	Scattered over [REDACTED] several local concentrations. $1/20 \text{ m}^2$	7 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
2	20 x 5 m	25	Small concentration with a few isolated artifacts nearby.	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
3	30 x 25 m	75	Thin scatter, $1/10 \text{ m}^2$	-	Quarry Site?	Post-1915 Anglo activity
4	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
5	300 x 300 m	50	Extremely low density; less than $1/100 \text{ m}^2$, but two concentrations on [REDACTED]	2 Concentrations	Quarry Site?	
6	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	No raw materials at site.
7	250 x 75 m	1,000+	Dense; $1/\text{m}^2$ with local concentrations. On [REDACTED]	7 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
8	?	5	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
9	700 x 400 m	10,000+	Variable scatter over [REDACTED] many local concentrations. Density rarely less than $1/3 \text{ m}^2$; often up to $4/\text{m}^2$.	49 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
10	150 x 100 m	300	Thin scatter ($1/\text{m}^2$ at densest) [REDACTED]	-	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
11	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	No raw materials at site, but present in adjacent washes.
12	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
13	150 x 50 m	1,000	Scatter [REDACTED]	7 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
14	150 x 20 m	500	Scattered over [REDACTED]; several local concentrations. 1/3 m ² or less.	5 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
15	90 x 75 m	150	Discontinuous scatter, locally 1/m ² , on [REDACTED]	4 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
16	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
17	2 x 2 m	15	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	
18	10 x 10 m	12	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	
19	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
20	10 x 8 m	4	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
21						Changed to Feature 100 of Site 73.
22	1600 x 700 m	3,000+	Thin scatter throughout site; less than 1/100 m ² overall. Densest in south part of site.	24 chipping stations; 1 pot drop; 1 problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	
23	1700 x 500 m	2,000+	Very thin scatter throughout site; less than 1/100 m ² overall.	12 chipping stations; 1 problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	
24	2300 x 1100 m	5,000+	Variable thin scatter throughout site; less than 1/100 m ² overall. Densest on [REDACTED].	36 chipping stations; 1 sleeping circle; 6 problematic rock piles.	Quarry/Campsite	
25	1 x 1 m	12	Isolated Artifacts	"Purple Glass" bottle drop		
26	600 x 300 m	1,000+	Thin Scatter; [REDACTED]	4 chipping stations; 1 problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	
27	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
28	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
29	350 x 100 m	500	Thin scatter, less than 1/50 m ² . [REDACTED]	2 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
30	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
31	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
32	1300 x 600 m	3,000+	1/20 m ² , with local concentrations on [REDACTED] Less than 1/50	16 chipping stations; 1 problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	
33	1200 x 400 m	4,000+	1/20 m ² on [REDACTED] less than 1/50 m ² [REDACTED] Densest towards west end of site.	20 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
34	800 x 250 m	4,000+	Densest on [REDACTED] 1/3 m ² , and towards west end of site. Less than 1/20 m ² on [REDACTED]	41 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
35	1000 x 150 m	2,000+	1/5 m ² on [REDACTED] less than 1/30 m ² on [REDACTED]	12 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
36	1200 x 500 m	4,000+	Densest on [REDACTED]; variable but up to 1/m ² . Less than 1/20 m ² [REDACTED]	36 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
37	1200 x 600 m	7,000+	Densest on [REDACTED], with local densities up to 5/m ² . Overall, less than 1/30 m ² .	1 large lithic concentration; 54 chipping stations.	Quarry Site	
38	900 x 450 m	1,000	Very thin scatter; less than 1/100 m ² overall.	3 chipping stations; 1 problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	SITE SIZE	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
39	600 x 100 m	500	Densest [REDACTED] but low overall. Less than 1/50 m ² .	3 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
40	350 x 100 m	250	Very thin scatter; about 1/100 m ² .	2 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
41	1200 x 700 m	2,000+	Densest [REDACTED] but low overall; less than 1/100 m ² .	14 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
42	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
43	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
44	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
45	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
46	10 x 5 m	200+	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	
47	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
48	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
49	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
50	1,100 x 600 m	1,000	Less than 1/30 m ² [REDACTED] less than 1/100 m ² [REDACTED]	8 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
51	1 x 1 m	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	?	Lithic material not local.
52	2 x 1 m	3	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	?	Lithic material not local.
53	250+ x 90 m	400+	Most artifacts [REDACTED] higher density at west end. Density as high as 5/1 m ² at features and as low as 1/100 m ² between features; over all density 1/25 m ² .	13 chipping stations and 1 lithic concentration.	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
54	10 x 5 m	5	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	?	Lithic material not local.
55	100 x 20 m	60	Higher density at west end; overall density 1/15 m ² .	Chipping station and lithic concentration.	Quarry Site	Various degrees of patination suggests use over time.
56	300+ x 75 m	145+	All features [REDACTED] - isolated artifacts cluster - probably deflated chipping stations. Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features and less than 1/100 m ² between features; overall density 1/65 m ² .	4 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
57	2 x 1 m	6	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Tool Processing Site.	Non-cortical and thinning flakes only.
58	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
59	4 x 3 m	19	Isolated feature and isolated artifact.	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
60	13 x 4 m	20	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
61	2 x 2 m	14	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
62	14 x 2 m	9	Isolated feature and isolated artifact.	Chipping Station	Tool Processing Site?	High percentage of non-cortical flakes; lithic material not local.
63	5 x 4 m	10	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
64	130 x 60 m	55+	Very few isolated artifacts between the features. Density as high as 5/1 m ² at features and considerably less than 1/100 m ² between features; overall density 1/75 m ² .	4 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site/?	Over 1/3 of flakes are non-cortical. Various degrees of patination suggests use over time.
65	?	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
66	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
67	120 x 90 m	110+	Density as high as 15/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	7 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Various degrees of patination suggests use over time.
68	2 x 1 m	23	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
69	1 x 1 m	11	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	?	Lithic material not local.
70	10 x 1 m	5	Isolated feature and isolated artifacts.	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	No cortical flakes

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
71	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
72	30 x 20 m	4	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
73	1610 x 805 m	10,000+	<p>[REDACTED]</p> densest; southern border and eastern 1/3 very sparse; density of isolated artifacts correlates with distribution of features. Density as high as 60/1 m ² at features; overall density 1/100 m ² .	123+ chipping stations and numerous lithic concentrations.	Quarry Site	Various degrees of partition suggests use over time.
74	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
75	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
76	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
77	75 x 5 m	53	No isolated artifacts between features.	2 chipping stations and 1 lithic concentration.	Quarry Site	
78	1 x 1 m	3	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
79	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
80	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
81	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
82	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
83	55 x 45 m	110	Density as high as 7/1 m ² at features. Overall density 1/15 m ² .	5 chipping stations and isolated lithics.	Quarry Site/?	About 1/4 of flakes are non-cortical. Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
84	70 x 30 m	7	2 small clusters and isolated artifacts.	-	-	
85	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
86	80 x 80 m	110	Density as high as 5/1 m ² at features and less than 1/100 m ² between features; overall density 1/30 m ² .	3 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
87	1 x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
88	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
89	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
90	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
91	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
92	5 x 5 m	2	Isolated Feature	1 large rock cairn surrounded by 4 small cairns.	Claim Cairn	Modern

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
93	850 x 220 m	200+	██████████ highest density; ██████████ sparse; ██████████ very sparse. Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features; overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	8 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site/?	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time. Over 1/4 of flakes are non-cortical and thinning.
94	900 x 300 m	450+	██████████ highest density; ██████████ sparse; ██████████ very sparse. Density as high as 20/m ² at features; overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	15 chipping stations and 1 lithic concentration.	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
95	625 x 500 m	300+	Very low density of artifacts. Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features; overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	10 chipping stations, one associated with a problematic rock pile.	Quarry Site	Various degrees of patination suggests use over time.
96	700 x 125 m	660+	██████████ highest density with nothing on ██████████ very sparse. Density as high as 20/1 m ² at features; overall density 1/90 m ² .	25 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
97	625 x 100 m	250+	Artifacts on ██████████ nothing on ██████████ Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	22 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
98	1400 x 250 m	400+*	Majority of features on ██████████. Some on ██████████. Density as high as 15/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	21 Chipping Stations; 1 problematic stone circle	Quarry Site	*Estimated number of isolated flakes between features unknown. Various degrees of patination suggests use over time.

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
99	590 x 100 m	250+	Majority of features on [redacted] nothing denser than [redacted] density as high as 15/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	8 chipping stations and isolated lithics	Quarry Site	Various degrees of partition suggests use over time.
100	2100 x 200 m	800+	All artifacts on [redacted] varies from very sparse to dense, with density of isolated artifacts correlating with distribution features. Density as high as 15/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	25 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Various degrees of partition suggests use over time.
101	1770 x 250 m	1,100+	Majority of artifacts on [redacted] Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	42 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Various degrees of partition suggests use over time.
102	1000 x 230 m	1,300+	Of the large sites, this is one of the densest. The majority of features were on [redacted] The density of isolated artifacts correlated with the distribution of features, with concentrations of isolates [redacted] Density as high as 15/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	49 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Various degrees of partition suggests use over time.
103	300+ x 25 m	150+	All features cluster at northeast end of site in an area about 50 x 25 m. Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features and less than 1/100 m ² beyond the cluster of features.	5 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of partition suggests use over time.

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
104	1650 x 450 m	1,000+	Majority of features [redacted] a few are on [redacted]. Overall density on [redacted] varies from very sparse to moderate, correlating with distribution of features. [redacted] very sparse. Density as high as 10/1 m ² at features. Overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	38 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
105	2400 x 300 m	1,600+	Western third of site [redacted] is very sparse with scattered features. Heaviest concentration on [redacted] central 1/3 of site. Eastern 1/3 of site varied from very sparse to moderate. Density of isolated artifacts correlated with distribution features. More features on [redacted]. Density as high as 20/1 m ² at features; overall density less than 1/100 m ² .	55 chipping stations and 2 rectangular-shaped adjoining rock alignments.	Quarry/Campsite	Quarrying primary activity. Rock alignments suggest some camping activity.
106	3 x 2 m	9	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
107	3 x 3 m	40	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
108	1 x 1 m	55	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
109	1 x 1 m	8	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
110	6 x 4 m	16	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
111	?	35	Isolated feature surrounded by isolated artifacts.	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
112	1 x 1 m	16	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
113	25 x 25 m	4	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
114	1 x 1 m	3	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	Left by Anglo collectors? Collection No. 114.1.
115	15 x 6 m	24	No isolated artifacts between features.	2 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
116	1 x 1 m	3	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
117	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
118	6 x 3 m	19	No isolated artifacts between features.	3 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
119	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
120	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
121	-	1	Isolated Artifact			
122	-	1	Isolated Artifact			

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
123	40 x 2 m	4	Two sets of a core and a flake 40 m apart - no isolated artifacts between.	2 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
124	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
125	1 x 1 m	6	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
126	1 x 1 m	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
127	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
128	1 x 1 m	11	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
129	1 x 1 m	7	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
130	4 x 2 m	16	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Tool Processing Site?	Limited raw lithic material; over half the flakes are non-cortical.
131	1 x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
132	2 x 1 m	6	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
133	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
134	1 x 1 m	3	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	No cortical flakes

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
135	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
136	5 x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
137	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
138	3 x 2 m	15	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
139	1 x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
140	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
141	-	3	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
142	1 x 1 m	5	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site?	No cortical flakes
143	1 x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
144	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
145	1 x 1 m	9	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
146	3 x 2 m	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
147	-	-	-	-	-	Included as part of Site 15

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
148	1200 x 300 m	800+	Majority of features on [redacted] [redacted] Density was as high as 25/1 m ² at features. Very few isolated artifacts were noted between features, with overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	33 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site/?	Over a 1/3 of the flakes are non-cortical and thinning flakes. Various degrees of patination suggest use over time.
149	1600 x 650 m	700+	Majority of features on [redacted] [redacted] Very few features. Density as high as 40/1 m ² at features. Very few isolated artifacts were noted between features, with overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	40 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.
150	2000 x 400 m	1100+	The majority of features are on the [redacted] [redacted] Density was as high as 30/1 m ² at features. Very few isolated artifacts were noted between features, with overall density considerably less than 1/100 m ² .	39 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
151	1450 x 800 m	500+	Over 1/2 of the chipping stations are on [redacted] [redacted] the rest are scattered over [redacted]. The rock piles are clustered at the base of [redacted] where it flattens out. Density was as high as 20/1 m ² at features. Very few isolated artifacts were noted between features, with overall density [redacted]	26 chipping stations	Quarry Site	Presence and absence of patination suggests use over time.

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
152	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
153	1 x 1 m	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
154	?	4	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
155	1' x 1 m	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
156	1 x 1 m	22	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
157	1 x 1 m	15	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
158	2 x 1 m	3	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
159	-	2	Isolated Artifacts	-	-	
160	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
161	-	1	Isolated Artifact	-	-	
162	2 x 1 m	30	Isolated Feature	Chipping Station	Quarry Site	
						NOTE: No sites numbered 163 - 200.

Table 8 (cont.)

SITE NO.	MAXIMUM SITE DIMENSIONS	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ARTIFACTS	DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY	FEATURES	SITE FUNCTION	COMMENTS
201	1400 x 400 m	2,000+	Densest towards west end of site but sparse overall; less than 1/100 m ² .	17 Chipping Stations; 1 Pot Drop.	Quarry Site	
202	500 x 350 m	1,000	Densest on the [REDACTED] but sparse overall; about 1/100 m ² .	12 Chipping Stations; 1 Pot Drop.	Quarry Site	
203	600 x 300 m	500	Less than 1/30 m ² on [REDACTED] less than 1/100 m ² on [REDACTED]	5 Chipping Stations	Quarry Site	
204	1200 x 300 m	1,500+	Densest on [REDACTED] of site, but sparse overall - less than 1/100 m ² .	15 Chipping Stations; 1 Problematic Rock Pile.	Quarry Site	
205	1000 x 400 m	1,500+	Densest on [REDACTED] but sparse overall - less than 1/100 m ² .	11 Chipping Stations; 2 Problematic Rock Piles.	Quarry Site	