National Park System
1998 Visitor Survey Card Data Report

Introduction

To assist the National Park Service in complying with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), a visitor survey was conducted in 310 units of the National Park System in FY98. The survey was developed to measure each park unit’s performance related to NPS GPRA Goals IIa1 (visitor satisfaction) and IIb1 (visitor understanding and appreciation).

The results of the Visitor Survey Card (VSC) survey are summarized in this report. A description of the research methods and limitations is on the back page.

Below (left) is a graph summarizing visitor opinions of the "overall quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities" in the National Park System. The satisfaction measure is the combined percentage of "good" and "very good" responses. This is the primary performance measure for Goal IIa1. (The satisfaction measure may not equal the sum of "very good" and "good" percentages due to rounding.)

Below (right) are the survey results for GPRA goal IIa1.

Understanding the Results

Inside this report are graphs that present the combined survey results for the National Park System. The report contains three categories of data - park facilities, visitor services, and recreational opportunities. Within these categories are graphs for each indicator evaluated by park visitors. For example, the park facilities category includes indicators such as visitor center, exhibits, restrooms, and so forth. In addition, responses for indicators within each category are averaged into a combined graph for the category (e.g., combined park facilities).

Each graph includes the following information:

- the number of parks and visitor responses for the indicator;
- the percentage of responses which were "very good," "good," "average," "poor," and "very poor;"
- a satisfaction measure that combines the percentage of total responses which were "very good" or "good;" and
- an average evaluation score (mean score) based on the following values: very poor = 1, poor = 2, average = 3, good = 4, very good = 5.

The higher the average evaluation score, the more positive the visitor response.

Overall quality of facilities, services & recreational opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>151 parks; 22,913 respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>105 parks; 17,058 respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>33 parks; 4,379 respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>4 parks; 505 respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0 parks; 0 respondents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction measure: 95%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

GPRA Reporting Results for Goal IIa1

Percentage of park visitors satisfied overall with appropriate facilities, services, and recreational opportunities:

95%

Systemwide response rate:

24%
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Visitor center
N = 281 parks; 21,227 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Visitor center satisfaction

Restrooms
N = 281 parks; 19,901 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 81%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Walkways, trails, and roads
N = 281 parks; 21,696 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Exhibits
N = 281 parks; 21,725 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

Campground and/or picnic areas
N = 268 parks; 8,855 respondents

Satisfaction measure: 83%
Average evaluation score: 4.3

Combining all facilities
N = 21,725 responses (based on 5 indicators)

Satisfaction measure: 89%
Average evaluation score: 4.5
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**Assistance from park employees**

N = 281 parks; 22,148 respondents

- Very good: 81%
- Good: 15%
- Average: 9%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

Number of respondents

Satisfaction measure: 96%
Average evaluation score: 4.8

**Park map or brochure**

N = 281 parks; 20,824 respondents

- Very good: 69%
- Good: 25%
- Average: 6%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

Number of respondents

Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

**Ranger programs**

N = 281 parks; 10,375 respondents

- Very good: 72%
- Good: 21%
- Average: 5%
- Poor: 1%
- Very poor: 0%

Number of respondents

Satisfaction measure: 93%
Average evaluation score: 4.6

**Commercial services in the park**

N = 279 parks; 10,485 respondents

- Very good: 41%
- Good: 33%
- Average: 19%
- Poor: 5%
- Very poor: 2%

Number of respondents

Satisfaction measure: 74%
Average evaluation score: 4.1

**Combined visitor services**

N = 22,148 responses (based on 4 indicators)

- Very good: 69%
- Good: 22%
- Average: 7%
- Poor: 2%
- Very poor: 1%

Number of respondents

Satisfaction measure: 91%
Average evaluation score: 4.6
Survey cards were distributed to a random sample of visitors to 310 parks in the National Park System during the period June 15 – September 15, 1998. At each park, visitors were sampled at selected locations representative of the general visitor population.

Returned cards were electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Responses from individual parks in the National Park System were combined into one dataset. Data from parks with less than 30 returned cards, or from parks with discrepancies in data collection methods, were omitted from this report. Frequency distributions were calculated for each indicator and category.

All percentage calculations were rounded to the nearest percent. The response rate was calculated by dividing the total number of returned survey cards by the total number of survey cards distributed. The sample size (“N”) varies from figure to figure, depending on the number of responses.

The results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, to park visitors who did not visit the survey locations, or to park units in the National Park System that did not participate in the survey.